Connections Between Connexins, Calcium, and Cataracts in the Lens
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ABSTRACT There is a good deal of evidence that the lens generates an internal microcirculatory system, which brings metabolites, like glucose, and antioxidants, like ascorbate, into the lens along the extracellular spaces between cells. Calcium also ought to be carried into the lens by this system. If so, the only path for Ca2+ to get out of the lens is to move down its electrochemical gradient into fiber cells, and then move by electrodiffusion from cell to cell through gap junctions to surface cells, where Ca-ATPase activity and Na/Ca exchange can transport it back into the aqueous or vitreous humors. The purpose of the present study was to test this calcium circulation hypothesis by studying calcium homeostasis in connexin (Cx46) knockout and (Cx46 for Cx50) knockin mouse lenses, which have different degrees of gap junction coupling. To measure intracellular calcium, FURA2 was injected into fiber cells, and the gradient in calcium concentration from center to surface was mapped in each type of lens. In wild-type lenses the coupling conductance of the mature fibers was \( \sim 0.5 \text{ S/cm} \) of cell to cell contact, and the best fit to the calcium concentration data varied from 700 nM in the center to 300 nM at the surface. In the knockin lenses, the coupling conductance was \( \sim 1.0 \text{ S/cm} \) and calcium varied from \( \sim 500 \text{ nM at the center to 300 nM at the surface.} \) Thus, when the coupling conductance doubled, the concentration gradient halved, as predicted by the model. In knockout lenses, the coupling conductance was zero, hence the efflux path was knocked out and calcium accumulated to \( \sim 2 \mu \text{M in central fibers.} \) Knockout lenses also had a dense central cataract that extended from the center to about half the radius. Others have previously shown that this cataract involves activation of a calcium-dependent protease, Lp82. We can now expand on this finding to provide a hypothesis on each step that leads to cataract formation: knockout of Cx46 causes loss of coupling of mature fiber cells; the efflux path for calcium is therefore blocked; calcium accumulates in the central cells; at concentrations above \( \sim 1 \mu \text{M (from the center to about half way out of a 3-wk-old lens) Lp82 is activated;} \) Lp82 cleaves cytoplasmic proteins (crystallins) in central cells; and the cleaved proteins aggregate and scatter light.
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INTRODUCTION

In all animal cells, intracellular calcium is quite low, usually a few hundred nM. Transient increases to somewhat higher values of [Ca2+]i occur, but these initiate dramatic changes in a host of cellular processes, hence prolonged higher levels of [Ca2+]i are never allowed. However, all animal cell membranes have some small baseline permeability to Ca2+, thus the maintenance of low [Ca2+]i requires continuous membrane transport of Ca2+ out of cells by Ca-ATPase activity and Na/Ca exchange. The cells of the lens also need to maintain low [Ca2+]i, however the lens as an organ has some unique structural and physiological properties, which make regulation of [Ca2+]i more complicated than in other cells. At the outset, we will introduce these properties (for review see Mathias et al., 1997) and show why they have led us to speculate that Ca2+ handling in the lens is unique.

Fig. 1A illustrates the cellular structure of a lens cut in cross section. The surface of the anterior hemisphere is covered with a layer of epithelial cells (E, red). These cells are thought to carry out essentially all of the active transmembrane transport in the lens, including the Na/K-ATPase and Ca-ATPase activity (for review see Paterson and Delamere, 2004). Nevertheless, all lens cells maintain physiologically low internal Na+, very low internal Ca2+, and typically high internal K+ (for review see Mathias et al., 1997), presumably through gap junction coupling with the epithelial cells and the circulation described below. The only cell division in the lens is within the epithelium, where the new cells are pushed toward the equator. At the equator, the epithelial cells begin to elongate and then differentiate into fiber cells (DF, green), which express a new set of cytoplasmic and membrane proteins. New DF are formed throughout life. At a distance 10–20% of the radius into the lens, there is another

Abbreviations used in this paper: DF, differentiating fibers; KI, knockin; KO, knockout; MF, mature fibers; WT, wild type.
along the extracellular spaces between cells and then moves down its electrochemical gradient into fiber cells, where it returns to the surface via gap junctions. The pattern of gap junction coupling directs the intracellular current flow to the equator, where the equatorial extracellular voltage gradients and fluid flow associated with this Na circulation will bring calcium from the aqueous and vitreous into the extracellular spaces within the lens. Since the concentration of calcium is relatively low and the flux is small, it would not significantly affect the circulation of fluid, rather it would be a consequence of it. As mentioned earlier, no membrane is totally impermeable to small ions, so some of the extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) will enter the MF. Once in the intracellular compartment, Ca\(^{2+}\) will have to find its way back to the surface in order to be transported out of the lens. We expect that Ca\(^{2+}\) will circulate in the same manner as Na\(^{+}\) (Fig. 1 B). Our hypothesis is that Ca\(^{2+}\) moves into the lens along extracellular clefts then back to the surface via gap junctions. The surface cells have the Na/Ca exchange and Ca-ATPase activity (Paterson and Delamere, 2004) to transport the Ca\(^{2+}\) out of the lens. The unique features of this model are (a) Ca\(^{2+}\) is continuously circulating through the lens, and (b) gap junctions coupling the interior fiber cells to the surface cells are an essential component of Ca homeostasis.

Newly developed lens connexin knockout (KO) (Gong et al., 1997; White et al., 1998) and knockin (KI) (White, 2002) mice provide lenses in which gap junction coupling conductance between MF and the lens surface is modulated either up (in Cx46 for Cx50 KI mouse lenses; Martinez-Wittinghan et al., 2003, 2004)
or down (in Cx46 knockout mouse lenses; Gong et al., 1998). These lenses therefore provide a means of testing the above model. This model predicts a gradient for diffusion of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) from the interior of the lens to the surface. In KI lenses, where MF gap junction coupling conductance is elevated relative to control lenses, intracellular Ca concentration gradients should be smaller than in control lenses; hence [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in the inner fiber cells should be closer to values in surface cells. Whereas, in the Cx46 knockout lenses, where the MF are totally uncoupled from surface cells, Ca homeostasis in the MF will be lost and Ca\(^{2+}\) will accumulate in the inner cells. The KO lenses develop a dense central cataract (Gong et al., 1997), which might be caused by this accumulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Baruch et al., 2001).

To test these predictions, we developed a new method of measuring intracellular Ca concentrations at various depths into the lens. The method is to inject the Ca indicator dye FURA2 into fiber cells at various depths and compare the ratio of fluorescence emission at the two wavelengths of excitation with calibration curves that related the ratio to intracellular calcium. However, because the lens is itself an optical element that absorbs light at the wavelengths of interest, the calibration curves changed with depth. This paper presents the results of using our new method to map intracellular Ca concentrations in intact lenses from wild-type (WT), Cx46 knockout, and Cx46 for Cx50 KI mice.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of KO/KI Mice**

The generation of Cx46 KO and Cx50/Cx46 KI mice has been described previously (Gong et al., 1997; White, 2002). Genotypes of all animals were confirmed by PCR analysis of genomic DNA from tail biopsies using previously described protocols (Gong et al., 1997; White, 2002).

**Isolation of Lenses**

Mice (maintained in a mixed 129S4 × C57BL/6J genetic background) were killed by peritoneal injection of pentobarbital (100 mg/kg of weight). The eyes were removed and placed in a sylgard Petri dish containing normal Tyrode solution, which contained (in mM) NaCl 137.7, NaOH 2.3, KCl 5.4, CaCl\(_2\) 2, MgCl\(_2\) 1, HEPES 5, glucose 10, pH 7.4.

To isolate and mount the lenses from either species, the cornea and iris were removed and the optic nerve was cut. The sclera was cut into four flaps from the posterior surface and pinned to the vertical wall of a sylgard base, so that the lens was in a standing position with the posterior surface facing to the front, and the sclera out of the light path coming from the bottom of the bath and passing through the posterior half of the lens (Fig 2 A). When viewed from above, the plane that bisects the upper and lower halves will contain fiber cells that are in the same focal plane. Dye injections into fiber cells near this plane will thus minimize scatter from out of focus fluorescence. The dish with the lens in normal Tyrode solution was mounted on the stage of a fluorescent microscope for measurements of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. All experiments were conducted on freshly dissected lenses.

**Isolation of Lens Fiber Vesicles**

Lenses were obtained by following the same procedures as described above. As described in Varadaraj et al. (1999), clumps of fibers were peeled from the lens and transferred into a 2-ml centrifuge tube containing Tyrode solution. Large, right side out vesicles bud off the fibers when they are gently triturated in the centrifuge tube. The environment within these vesicles is probably similar to fiber cell cytoplasm, since the vesicles retain the enzyme to cleave FURA2-AM to FURA2.

**Generation of Calibration Curves**

The lens is an optical element with its own absorption spectrum, which unfortunately absorbs almost all light at one of the optimum Ca imaging wavelengths of 540 nm. Through trial and error we determined that using excitation wavelengths of 360 and 380 nm allowed detectable signals that were Ca concentration dependent. However, because the lens absorbs some of the light at these wavelengths, calibration changed with depth into the lens. To obtain depth-dependent calibration curves, we inserted long sharp glass pipettes into the center of lenses. These pipettes were filled with a Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer solution (in mM) KCl 100, MOPS 30, K\(_2\)EGTA 10, Ca\(_2\)EGTA 1–10, pH 7.2 (Molecular Probes). The resulting solutions had known free [Ca\(^{2+}\)] ranging from 17 nM to 39.8 \(\mu\)M, plus 2–5 \(\mu\)M FURA2. Images of the pipettes were acquired with excitation wavelengths 360 and 380 nm (Fig 2 B). We divided the distance from the surface of the lens to its center into seven equal length sections. The ratio of pipette fluorescence when excitation was 360 nm to that when excitation was 380 nm was determined in each section for pipettes containing different [Ca\(^{2+}\)] (Fig 2 C). Then, ratios versus [Ca\(^{2+}\)] were graphed and fit with Eq. 1 (Fig. 2 D).

\[
R = \frac{K_a R_{\text{min}} + [\text{Ca}^{2+}] R_{\text{max}}}{K_a + [\text{Ca}^{2+}]},
\]

where \(R\) is the ratio of pipette fluorescence, \(R_{\text{min}}\) and \(R_{\text{max}}\) are the minimum and maximum values of \(R\), and \(K_a\) is the effective dissociation constant for the binding of Ca\(^{2+}\) with FURA2. The best fit values of \(K_a\) were, to within the accuracy of the data, the same at all depths, ranging from 220 to 340 nM with an average value of 320 nM (\(pCa = 6.5\)), whereas the values of \(R_{\text{max}}\) were generally reduced at greater depths, but not in exactly a linear progression.

Relative values of [Ca\(^{2+}\)], within a lens should be accurately determined by these calibration curves, but the absolute value of [Ca\(^{2+}\)], determined with these calibration curves may be somewhat lower than actual, owing to interactions of the dye with intracellular molecules. However, we cannot do intracellular calibration curves in intact lenses. We therefore isolated mouse lens fiber cell membrane vesicles as described above. We constructed calibration curves from these vesicles by incubating them for 40 min with FURA2-AM plus the Ca\(^{2+}\)-ionophore A23187 and known values of bath [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. Once again, images were acquired (Fig. 3 A shows mouse lens fiber cell membrane vesicles). The ratios were determined in different [Ca\(^{2+}\)] (Fig. 3 B shows calibration in mouse lens fiber cell membrane vesicles). To control for possible effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) transport by the Na/Ca exchanger, we repeated the 150 nM [Ca\(^{2+}\)] point in the presence of 1 mM Cd\(^{2+}\), which should inhibit most Na/Ca exchange activity. The open circle and triangle represent the comparison of control and Cd\(^{2+}\) data respectively from this experiment. There is no significant deviation from the original curve, suggesting that Na/Ca exchange was not active in these vesicles. The \(K_a\) for Ca binding with FURA2 in mouse lens vesicles was 670 nM, which was 0.32 pCa units higher than in the pipette. The depth-depen-
dent calibration curves were therefore shifted to the right by 0.32 pCa units according to the calibration curve obtained from the vesicles.

The accuracy of this method depends on how constant the $K_d$ is at the different depths in the lens. These lenses were so small that it was not possible to obtain vesicles from different depths to test this possibility. In larger lenses, there are gradients in protein concentration from surface to center (Magid et al., 1992), and this could conceivably affect the $K_d$. However, once again because of the smallness of the mouse lenses, protein gradients have not been detected. This suggests that effects, if any, should be small. Moreover, the effect of lens cytoplasm on the $K_d$ was typical of what has been reported for other cell types. For example, Haworth and Redon (1998) reported that the cytoplasm of heart cells shifted the $K_d$ of FURA2 by 0.34 pCa units to the right when compared with the value in buffer solution. The internal protein composition of heart cells is quite different from that of lens fiber cells, yet they both had the same effect on the $K_d$ for FURA2, suggesting that $K_d$ is not very sensitive to the specific cellular environment. Moreover, in developing this technique, we first used frog lens, and the calibration curve for FURA2 in vesicles from frog lens had the same $K_d$ as the data shown in Fig. 3 B, even though the concentrations of all ions are somewhat lower in the amphibian lenses. In summary, there is a possibility that the $K_d$ depends on depth into the lens, but there are reasons to think this effect would be small. Nevertheless, we cannot test this possibility, so it cannot be dismissed.

Measurements of $[Ca^{2+}]$, Within the Lens

We injected a small volume of 2 mM FURA2 solution into the fiber cells at different depths into the lens. FURA2 was dissolved in the pipette solution containing (in mM) K-Aspartic Acid 83, KCl 17, NaCH3OSO3 10, and HEPES 5. The pH was 6.9 adjusted with KOH. The images were acquired, the ratios calculated, and these

Figure 2. The method of generating depth-dependent calibration curves within an intact lens. The data in this figure are from WT mouse lenses, but the other types of lenses studied were treated in the same manner. (A) The method of mounting the lens. For all studies, the lens is mounted in a standing position so that injections of FURA2 can be made as close as possible to the plane of par focal fibers, and thus reduce out of focus fluorescence. However, before injections were attempted, we realized that depth-dependent calibration curves were required, since when an electrode containing FURA2 was inserted into the lens and fluorescence was stimulated by excitation at the typical wavelength (340 nm) for studies of internal calcium, the electrode simply vanished. By trial and error, we determined that using 360-nm excitation instead of 340 nm allowed us to visualize the fluorescence emission as well as estimate internal calcium. (B) A picture of fluorescence emission from a microelectrode containing FURA2 inserted into a lens and excited with 360- and 380-nm light. Clearly emission can be recorded with either wavelength of excitation, but what is not as apparent is that emission varies with depth into the lens. At the bottom of the picture, we have shown seven zones. Emission was averaged in each zone, and the ratio at 360- to 380-nm excitation was recorded for microelectrodes containing various concentrations of calcium. (C) A graph of the average ratio as a function of the zone of depth into the lens. Each curve is the mean ± SD for five to six lenses/pipets containing the indicated concentration of calcium. (D) The same data as in C, but with the ratio at each zone of depth plotted as a function of pipette calcium. To within the accuracy of these data, the dissociation constant, $K_d$, for calcium with FURA2 was ~320 nM at all depths, whereas the maximum values of the ratios varied considerably with depth.
ratios were converted into $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$, with the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ calibration curve from the appropriate depth.

Since FURA2 must bind with $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ in order to provide the $\text{Ca}$-dependent fluorescent signal, it can potentially act as a local buffer, which could alter the local $\text{Ca}$ concentration. The lens provided an opportunity to control for this potential artifact. As shown in Results (Fig. 5 A), the FURA2 diffused a significant distance from the site of injection along the axes of the fiber cells, creating a concentration gradient with the highest concentration of FURA2 just adjacent to the pipette, and the concentration going to zero as one looked more distal to the injection site along the axes of the fibers. We exploited this concentration gradient to determine if the FURA2 ratio method gave different values proximal to the site of injection, where the concentration was highest, and distal to the injection, where the concentration was significantly less. We do not know the actual concentrations of FURA2, but based on the reduction in fluorescence intensity, the concentration at the distal site was $0.62 \pm 0.05$ of its proximal value. The ratio was $1.657 \pm 0.087$ at the proximal site and $1.665 \pm 0.080$ at the distal site ($n = 11$), so there was no significant difference in the $\text{Ca}$ concentrations at the two sites, even though the FURA2 concentrations were significantly different. This control suggests that the small amount of FURA2 we injected into the fiber cells did not significantly alter the $\text{Ca}$ concentration.

**Immunostaining**

Postnatal day 7 eyes were dissected, cut open at the posterior pole, fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS overnight, rinsed in PBS, and dehydrated through an ethanol series. Eyes were cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections (2–3 $\mu$m) were cut on a 0.5-cm diamond knife, floated on water, and dried onto Superfrost Plus slides overnight at 37°C. Sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and blocked with 3% BSA in PBS. Sections were stained with rabbit antisera against Cx46, diluted in 3% BSA in PBS, and washed with PBS. Primary antisera were visualized with a Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and photographed on an Olympus BX51 microscope using an Optronics MagnaFire digital camera.

**Theory**

We have generated a simple model that evaluates intracellular $\text{Ca}$ diffusion under the assumption that the value of the inward transmembrane $\text{Ca}$ flux ($k_{j\alpha}$, moles/cm$^2$/s) is constant with depth into the lens. The effective intracellular diffusion coefficient for $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ($D_{Ca}$, cm$^2$/s) is not known, but it should be proportional to gap junction coupling, which we measure; hence we can predict changes in $D_{Ca}$. The intracellular $\text{Ca}$ flux is given by the effective diffusion coefficient times the $\text{Ca}$ concentration gradient. Eq. 2 is simply a statement in spherical geometry that the change in $\text{Ca}$ flux per unit distance from the lens center equals the transmembrane flux.

$$D_{Ca} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}}{dr} \right) = -\frac{S_{a}}{V_f} k_{j\alpha},$$

Eq. 2

where the distance from the lens center is $r$ (cm), the lens radius is $a$ (cm), and the surface of membrane per volume of tissue is $S_{a}/V_f$ (cm$^{-1}$). To solve Eq. 2, two boundary conditions are required. The first is that the $\text{Ca}$ flux is zero at $r = 0$. The second is at $r = a$, where the $\text{Ca}$ flux depends on $\text{Ca}$-ATPase and Na/Ca exchange activity. These relationships are not known, but we can write the solution in terms of the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}(a)$, which is experimentally measured. If we define the change in $\text{Ca}$ concentration between $r = a$ and $r = 0$ as $\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$, then the solution to Eq. 2 is

$$[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}(r) = [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}(a) + \Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}] \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right)$$

Eq. 3

$$\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = \frac{a^2 S_{a} k_{j\alpha}}{D_{Ca} V_f 6}.$$
and KI lenses. Curve fits of Eq. 3 to the data on [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], were done using Sigma Plot, 2000 (SPSS Inc.).

**Limitations of the Model**

The model presented in Eqs. 2 and 3 was designed to be as simple as possible, yet capture the essential factors governing Ca homeostasis in the lens. This model assumes that intracellular Ca fluxes are driven entirely by diffusion, whereas mobile cations in the lens move by a combination of diffusion, conduction, and convection. If one estimates the contribution of each, convection is negligible. On the other hand, the measured intracellular voltage gradient (for examples in mouse lenses see Gong et al., 1998; Baldo et al., 2001) is expected to drive a component of the Ca flux that is comparable to that driven by diffusion, but for simplicity, we have ignored this component. The major limitation is the lack of consideration of intracellular calcium buffers, some of which could be mobile and shuttle Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from interior MF to surface cells. Such a mobile buffer would have to permeate gap junction channels, so known buffers like calmodulin would not work. But if such mobile buffers exist, they could carry far larger calcium fluxes than those due to diffusion or conduction of free calcium. There is no way to include this level of complexity in the present calculations. We conclude that $k_0$, in the model in Eq. 3 is a somewhat nebulous parameter that refers to the average fraction of membrane calcium flux that is associated with diffusion of free intracellular calcium.

**Use of the Model**

The model provides the expected shape of the diffusion gradient in spherical coordinates, and this can be compared with the data. It also provides a mechanism to quantify the average concentration gradient based on data from multiple lenses. Lastly, it predicts the dependence of the diffusion gradient on lens size and coupling conductance, both of which differ between WT and KI lenses, so it facilitates comparison.

**RESULTS**

**Types of Lenses Studied**

Fig. 4 contains representative photographs of the three types of lenses studied. WT mouse lenses shown in Fig. 4 A had an average radius of $a = 0.103 \pm 0.003$ cm. The mouse lenses in which Cx46 was knocked out (KO shown in Fig. 4 B) were the same size as WT, but they had a dense central cataract (Gong et al., 1997). Baruch et al. (2001) found that this cataract is associated with increases in total lens Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and activation of the Ca-dependent, lens-specific protease Lp82. Lp82 appears to degrade y-crystallins, allowing them to aggregate and scatter light. Fig. 6 of this paper presents a model of how the central cataract could be related to KO of Cx46, causing a large Ca concentration increase with depth into the KO lenses. In the KI lenses shown in Fig. 4 C, the Cx50 coding region has been replaced with the amino acid sequence for Cx46; hence these lenses express four copies of Cx46 and no copies of Cx50 (White, 2002). These lenses are as transparent as the control lenses, however they have a growth defect (White, 2002; Gerido et al., 2003). This group of KI lenses was slightly smaller than WT with an average radius of $a = 0.09 \pm 0.003$ cm.

**Measurement of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}].**

In all types of lenses studied, the data were recorded and analyzed as shown in Fig. 5 for the WT mouse lens.

**FIGURE 4.** A comparison of the three types of lenses studied. (A) WT mouse lens. (B) KO mouse lens. (C) KI mouse lens. The most notable difference is that the KO mouse lens has a dense central cataract beginning about half way into the lens.
A loss of coupling in the MF of lenses from Cx46 KO mice was reported by Gong et al. (1998). This was the first indication that the functional gap junction channels in MF are made from Cx46 and that Cx50 channels are rendered nonfunctional at the DF to MF transition. The values of coupling conductance for the MF of WT and Cx46 for Cx50 KI lenses are taken from Martinez-Wittinghan et al. (2004). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that only Cx46 channels remain functional in the MF, since when Cx46 is substituted for Cx50, coupling conductance, and presumably the number of functional channels, in the MF essentially doubles. This means we are not altering the subunit composition of the MF channels, so Ca^{2+} selectivity should not be an issue. For the purposes of this study, the differences in the number of functional Cx46 channels in the three types of lenses provided an opportunity to study the relationship between our measured values of coupling conductance and [Ca^{2+}].

Fig. 6 B illustrates our model of how coupling conductance affects Ca handling. Ca^{2+} moves toward the center of the lens along the extracellular spaces, crosses into fiber cells down its electrochemical gradient, and then moves back to the surface by diffusion through gap junctions. This model is quantitatively analyzed in the Theory section in Materials and Methods.
there is an effective diffusion path for intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) to diffuse from cell to cell via gap junctions to the surface, where the active Ca transport systems are located. However, as shown in Fig. 6 A, the conductance of that path is twice as large in KI as WT lenses. For simple diffusion, we expect that if the effective diffusion constant is doubled, the concentration gradient will be halved. In the bottom panel of Fig. 6 B we have sketched the problem for the KO lenses, where there is no diffusion path for the Ca\(^{2+}\) to get back to the surface. Hence, the model predicts that intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) will accumulate in the central cells.

Fig. 7 shows the profiles for [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in lenses from the three types of mice. Fig. 7 A shows the same WT data as Fig. 5 C, but plotted on the same scale as the data from the other two types of lenses. Comparison of the WT data in A with the KO data in B illustrates a significant flattening of the concentration gradient in the KO lenses, as predicted by the model in Fig. 6 B (top). To make a quantitative comparison of these datasets, Eq. 3 was curve fitted to the WT and KO data. In either the WT or KO lenses, the best fit value of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i(a)\) is \(\sim 300\) nM, but in the WT lenses it reaches 700 nM at the lens center, whereas in the KO lenses it only reaches 470 nM. Thus, the Ca gradient, \(\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), is 400 nM in WT lenses versus 170 nM in KO lenses. As suggested above, if the effective diffusion coefficient doubles, one expects the gradient to halve, which is close to what was recorded; however, the other factor is lens size, and the KO lenses were slightly smaller than WT. According to Eq. 3 of the Theory section in MATERIALS AND METHODS, the ratio of \(\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i(\text{WT})/\Delta[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i(\text{KO})\) scales as the ratio of radii squared, \((a^2(\text{WT})/a^2(\text{KO}) = 1.2)\) and as the inverse ratio of effective diffusion coefficients \((D_{\text{Ca(KO)}}/D_{\text{Ca(WT)}} = 2)\). So for a 400 nM gradient in WT, with all else equal, we expect the KO gradient to be \(400/(1.2 \times 2) = 167\) nM, which is very close to the measured 170 nM. This analysis suggests that Ca handling was not different in the WT and KO lenses; rather, the differences were in the value of effective diffusion coefficient for Ca\(^{2+}\) and lens size.

The KO lens data shown in Fig. 7 C were not curve fit with our model, since the lack of MF coupling shown in Fig. 6 A suggests that there will not be cell to cell diffusion between the MF, as sketched in the bottom panel of Fig. 6 B. Thus Ca\(^{2+}\) is expected to enter the MF, but with no path back to the surface; it simply accumulates. Looking at either the raw data, or the comparison of binned data shown in Fig. 7 D, one can see that in the WT or KO lenses, not only is [Ca\(^{2+}\)], significantly lower than in KO lenses, it is also much better controlled. This comparison suggests that Ca homeostasis in the lens depends critically on gap junction coupling.

The outermost measurements of [Ca\(^{2+}\)], for the KO lenses were made right at the transition from DF to MF, where the microelectrode first popped into the...
lenses, so the value is already somewhat elevated. Presumably, if we could have recorded \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) at locations closer to the surface, it would have been better controlled, since we think that the DF coupling conductance is still reasonably high, due to the presence of Cx50 channels in the DF of Cx46 KO lenses (Baldo et al., 2001). If one considers how the lens grows, cells that are DF this week will be MF next week, and in the MF intracellular calcium begins to accumulate. The following week, these cells will be deeper in the MF with a still higher value of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), owing to a longer time for accumulation. In lenses from mice of this age (2–3 wk postnatal), growth is still relatively rapid, hence the value of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) has increased to 1 \(\mu\text{M}\) and above at locations central to \(r/a = 0.5\), which is the zone where the cataract forms, presumably because Ca concentrations >1 \(\mu\text{M}\) initiate Lp82 protease activity, as described in Baruch et al. (2001). Although we have not yet studied lenses from older KO mice, we know that growth slows, allowing more time for the peripheral MF to accumulate \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), and we know that the central cataract spreads outward to fill a larger fraction of the lens diameter, consistent with \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), being the cause.

Is \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), a Trigger for Connexin Cleavage at the DF to MF Transition?

It has long been known that fiber cells lose their intracellular organelles and that the COOH termini of most membrane proteins, including the connexins, are cleaved at the DF to MF transition. More recently, Jacobs et al. (2004) have shown that gap junction plaques are completely reorganized at this transition. Data presented in Martinez-Wittinghan et al. (2004) suggest even more complexity. Lens proteases are generally in the calpain family (Reed et al., 2003), such as Lp82; hence proteolytic activity may be regulated by \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\). In the KO lenses, our hypothesis is that increased \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in MF causes activation of Lp82. In the KI lenses, \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) is decreased in MF, perhaps leading to reduced protease activity. Although we cannot evaluate
all that is occurring at the DF to MF transition, by using an antibody to the COOH terminus of Cx46, we were able to compare the cleavage of its COOH terminus in WT and KI lenses (Fig. 8).

Fig. 8 (A and B) illustrates WT lenses. Fig. 8 A illustrates labeling of the COOH terminus of Cx46, which is cleaved at the DF to MF transition, causing loss of signal at 10–20% of the distance into the lens. Fig. 8 B shows labeling of nuclei with the nuclear marker 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). One can see that the epithelium is more or less oriented toward the top of the panels, and that nuclear staining is lost at the DF to MF transition. Fig. 8 (C and D) illustrates KI lenses, in which the same labeling was used. As can be seen in Fig. 8 C, the COOH terminus is not cleaved from many of the Cx46 proteins in the MF of KI lenses, and the staining persists into central MF. However, nuclear staining is still lost at the DF to MF transition (Fig. 8 D). Clearly there are major differences in Cx46 processing at the DF to MF transition in these two types of lenses.

**Discussion**

**Calcium Homeostasis in the Lens**

Based on the circulating fluxes that have been measured in the normal lens (for review see Mathias et al., 1997), we thought that calcium handling in the lens might follow a similar pattern. The data we have presented are consistent with this idea. Calcium homeostasis in the central MF of the lens appears to be more complex than in most cells. The MF do not have the Ca-ATPase activity or Na/Ca exchange to transport calcium out, yet they have some finite membrane permeability to calcium, hence it is continuously leaking into these cells throughout the volume of the lens. This causes Ca\(^{2+}\) to accumulate in the MF until diffusion to the surface balances the volume leak into cells of the lens. At the surface, Ca-ATPase activity and Na/Ca exchange transport it out of the lens. This sets up a concentration gradient being due to changes in cell to cell coupling in the MF.

In an isolated single cell at steady state, plasma membrane Ca influx and efflux must balance. In the lens, this is not true for any particular cell, but must obviously still hold for the total flux across all cell membranes. The data suggest that influx and efflux are at different spatial locations; hence, a circulation exists, as described in our model. Moreover, in an isolated cell, internal buffers must be at equilibrium with [Ca\(^{2+}\)], and organelles must be in steady state such that there is no net transmembrane Ca flux. The MF of the lens have no organelles, so this is not a consideration; however, there are internal buffers in all cells. If a buffer cannot move from cell to cell, presumably because it is too large to pass through gap junction channels, then buffer will have to be at equilibrium with the Ca concentration at the location of the buffer. This implies that the same buffer will bind with Ca\(^{2+}\) to a greater degree in central than peripheral cells. If some of these buffers are capable of moving from cell to cell, either through gap junction channels or perhaps through the cell fusion system suggested by Shestopalov and Bassnett (2003), then Ca handling could be much more complex than described here. There would be loaded buffer molecules diffusing down their concentration gradient from the central fiber cells to the surface, and empty buffer molecules diffusing back into the center of the lens. This is an intriguing possibility, but it is not one that we currently have the means to detect.

**A Role for [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in Connexin Cleavage?**

We have shown that [Ca\(^{2+}\)], is much lower in KI than WT mouse lenses. We have also shown that the COOH termini of Cx46 are all cleaved at the DF to MF transition in WT lenses, whereas many Cx46 proteins retain their COOH termini in the KI lenses. Most lens protease activity is [Ca\(^{2+}\)] dependent (Lin et al., 1997; Yin et al., 2001, Reed et al., 2003), so the abnormally low [Ca\(^{2+}\)] in the KI lenses may relate to the lack of protease activity. At this stage, we do not know. There are clearly other possible causes for the differences in processing, but Ca signaling is one intriguing possibility. Whatever the signal for connexin cleavage at the DF to MF transition, it must be different from the signal to degrade organelles, since the loss of nuclei staining is the same in the WT and KI lenses.

**Connexins, Calcium, and Cataracts**

Although many studies have found a correlation between elevated lens calcium and cataract (Duncan and van Heyningen, 1977; Hightower and Reddy, 1982; Baruch et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2003), it has not been possible to establish a cause and effect relationship. Probably the most direct relationship was established by Baruch et al. (2001), who showed that activation of the Ca-dependent protease Lp82 caused the cataract in the Cx46 KO lenses. The model described here provides a cause and effect explanation for the cataract found in the KO lenses. We have shown (Gong et al.,
(1998) that KO of Cx46 causes loss of coupling of the MF with surface cells, where active Ca\(^{2+}\) transport is located (for review see Paterson and Delamere, 2004). As a consequence, Ca\(^{2+}\) accumulates in the MF in a time-dependent manner. The more central the fiber cell, the greater its age; hence, the most central fibers have the highest values of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. In the 2–3-wk-old KO mouse lenses, the critical concentration of calcium for activation of Lp82 appears to be \(\sim 1\) \(\mu\)M, which is the value at 50% of the distance into these lenses. As described in Baruch et al. (2001), when [Ca\(^{2+}\)], reaches a critical level (presumably 1 \(\mu\)M based on our data), Lp82 is activated, it cleaves \(\gamma\)-crystallins, causing them to aggregate and scatter light. Since [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in WT lenses ranges from \(\sim 600\) nM at \(r = 0.5a\) to 700 nM at \(r = 0\), there appears to be little safety margin between WT and KO lenses. However, it is probably rather difficult to change [Ca\(^{2+}\)], in a normal lens, given its size and capacity to buffer perturbations in Ca handling. The KO of the Cx46 channels that couple the MF was, after all, a very significant compromise of the normal homeostatic mechanisms.

The dense central cataract seen in the Cx46 KO mouse lenses is very similar to the senile cataract found in human lenses. However, there are no compelling reasons to suggest that the causes are the same. The general finding is that central cataracts involve proteolysis of crystallins and their aggregation, but the causes of the proteolysis remain to be determined. Certainly, oxidative damage (Truscott and Augusteyn, 1977) is as viable a candidate as elevated calcium in the central cells. However, the two models may not be exclusive. For example, based on the model presented here, if gap junction channels suffered oxidative damage, this could lead to accumulation of calcium in central cells. Although we cannot yet identify the steps leading to formation of the senile cataract, we are slowly but surely filling in the blanks where the causes could lie.
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